# What environmental factors reduce predation vulnerability for native fish?

Ben Vaage<sup>1</sup>, David Ward<sup>1</sup>, Rylan Morton-Starner<sup>1</sup>, and Tammy Knecht<sup>2</sup> <sup>1</sup>U.S. Geological Survey, Flagstaff AZ <sup>2</sup>U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Parker AZ









#### Immiscibility of Native and Nonnative Fishes, 2005

PAUL C. MARSH AND CAROL A. PACEY, Fisheries

#### Conflicts between Native Fish and Nonnative Sport Fish Management in the Southwestern United States, 2005

Robert W. Clarkson a , Paul C. Marsh , Sally E. Stefferud & Jerome A. Stefferud, *Fisheries* 

### Predatory Fish Removal and Native Fish Recovery in the Colorado River Mainstem, 2005

Gordon A. Mueller, , Fisheries

## **Immiscibility** 99 % of time this holds true

But not always when unique environments aid in native fishes survivability with nonnative predators. In a few very rare instances native fish survive and recruit indicating specific environmental conditions may help reduce predation vulnerability

Little Colorado River in Grand Canyon



Lake mead at the CR inflow



### What environmental factors reduce predation vulnerability for native fish?

**Turbidity** 

**Vegetative Cover** 

- Aquatic plants
- Flooded terrestrial vegetation

**Rocky substrates** 





### **Experimental treatments**



Each point = 3 overnight trials, error bars represent 95% confidence intervals, 4 predators and 12 bonytail per tank at 20 ° C



RZB mean size = 74 mm TL





BTC mean size = 70 mm TL

















Clear trials: 0 % survival



Photo by Bio-West

# Turbid area of Lake Mead at Fish Island near the inflow of the Virgin and Muddy Rivers





PRE-DAM (10-1-1947 through 6-1-1956)



### Conclusions

- Relatively small changes in turbidity may be sufficient to alter predation dynamics
- Stocking fish into areas of high turbidity or creating areas with short term high turbidity may confer survival advantages
- Any ideas/questions?