Project Evaluation Scoring Form

Glen Canyon Dam Adaptive Management Program

Proposal Evaluation Form

Proposal Title:

Fiscal Year: Total Cost:

Evaluator(s):

# Urgency (0-10 pts)

* + Immediate action required? (0 pts - > 5 years, 1 pt - within the next 3-5 years, 2 pts - within the next year).
  + Project covers a large project area or multiple populations. (1 pt - small area or single population; 2 pt - medium area or few populations; 3 pt - large area or many populations)
  + Is the land management agency (s) supportive of the project? (1 pt)
  + Is the tribal community supportive of the project? (1 pt)
  + What is the risk to compliance (Endangered Species Act, Grand Canyon Protection Act, etc.; 1 pt)
  + Other please explain (2 pts):

**Geographic total Comments:**

# Importance (0-10 pts)

* + Project has multiple and diverse partners working in collaboration, including local/regional partners and is part of a larger collaborative conservation effort. (1 pt)
  + Project builds upon previously or currently funded GCDAMP projects. (1 pt)
  + Project involves cultural considerations.(1 pt)
  + Tribe (s) were involved in the development. (1 pt)
  + Project demonstrates sound technical and scientific merit and is supported by established scientific studies or principles. (1 pt)
  + Project objectives are realistic, measurable, and achievable; methods are clearly defined and appropriate to meet stated objectives.(1 pt)
  + Mechanism in place to evaluate, monitor and disseminate the results of the project, including lessons learned and best practices.(1 pt)
  + Does it address compliance guidelines? (1 pt)
  + Other please explain (2 pts):

**Technical Merits total Comments:**

# Funding and Cost Effectiveness (0-10 pts)

* + Does the project have contributing funds beyond the GCDAMP program? (1 pt)
  + Does the project contribute to multiple resource goals/objectives of LTEMP? (1 pt)
  + Does the project stabilize Does the project an existing population or replicate a rare population in the wild? (1 pt)
  + Provide immediate on-the-ground benefit? (1 pt)
  + Hydropower Cost (1 pt)
  + Benefit multiple priority species? (1 pt)
  + Benefit nonpriority native species. (1 pt)
  + Address other activities pertaining to research or management that aid in conserving native fish populations and habitats? (1 pt)
  + Other please explain (2 pts)

**Species total Comments:**

**Total Proposal Score:**