BAHG Call #6

* Craig Ellsworth: to the second point had a very active SA group that was familiar with CO River
	+ Has fallen off in the last 10 years or so
	+ Used to have a really engaged and helpful facilitator
		- Facilitation has fallen off as well since that contract lapsed
			* Utilize Terra’s services more?
	+ **Include more SA involvement and Facilitation Services**
* Jeremy Hammen: really important time to make sure we are equipped to capture changes and how they effect the ecosystem itself
	+ Comments on collecting data for management actions that we haven’t brought up
	+ Ways to bring those in and what potential data needs to be collected for those invasions
* Deb Williams: bullet one could have a lot of value, how would that impact GCMRC budgets?
	+ Program review, should think about appropriate timing
		- Until we see what that draft EIS for post-2026 looks like, could really impact how LTEMP is implemented
		- Casual talk of potentially amending LTEMP, review would be really helpful to understand that
		- Potential impacts of changing flow regimes w/ post-2026
		- Facilitators might really be helpful
	+ **Going through this process it would have been helpful getting more round number budgets up front prior to initial draft**
		- need to understand those budgets for initial discussions
* Bill Stewart: facilitation is on the reclamation side
	+ If facilitator is needed there is the ability
* Shana: could you elaborate on review process? Usually handled externally
	+ BS: have facilitation contract and SA panel
		- The way that contract is set up is for specific requests **listen to recording**
		- **Indefinite deliverable indefinite**
		- SA used to be more involved
	+ Seth Shanahan: two review independent panel concepts
		- Stand alone SA program
			* One or two people at every single meeting providing feedback along the way, and for specific moments
			* Sometimes heavily involved in the program, sometimes experts in their fields
			* Protocol evaluation panels
				+ **Listen to recording @25min ish**
* Craig Ellsworth: Could I suggest Reclamation might ask stakeholders for a post-review assessment on how they feel the review went? Maybe the same for the faciliator contract?
	+ Taking poll of stakeholders as they are for the stakeholders to learn and be engaged
* Helen Fairley: was standard procedure to have SA and PEPs chairs present
* **BS: at AMWG there is an opportunity to provide feedback on**
* Leslie James: if BOR is sticking to timetable, how confident are we that proper monitoring is in place?
	+ **Hard to say for this year, but Project I could help in future years and include criteria from Strategic Plan**
* **SS:** “Monitoring and Effectiveness Criteria” might not be the best title for that section
* **David Ward:** there is a delay in monitoring and seeing the effect
	+ **Might have some signature, but it might not show up immediately**
* Jim Strogen: unlike other projects, have to be prepared for SMB to be collected and analyzed in the same year
* Is there space in Experimental Fund?
	+ Make sure that is a part of the BOR BAHG discussion