BAHG Call #7 BOR TWP

* Trying to think about new naming convention as to not confuse BOR Budget with GCMRC Budget
* Project A
  + Combining A.1, A.3, A.6
  + Might need to increase facilitation contract
* Project B
  + Combining B.1, B.3, B.5
  + B.4 might be moved to facilitation if charter allows that flexibility
  + **Discussion:**
    - Helen Fairley: might not be a good idea to shift it over the full TWP in case new chair requires reimbursement
    - Larry Stevens: it was next to impossible to have anyone other than Federal/State in that position if there is no reimbursement
* Project C
  + C.3 Integrated Stakeholder River Trip (**cost likely to increase from FY24)**
  + C.4 Science Advisors Program (**Do we want to increase engagement?)**
  + C.5 Experimental Management Fund (**Possible increase)**
  + C.9 Evaluation of means to prevent fish passage through GCD (**New BO coming and may change)**
  + C.10 Evaluation of temperature control methods at GCD (**New BO coming and may change)**
  + **Discussion:**
    - Larry Stevens: GCMRC has run PEP panels in the past, deep dive into how programs are fairing. Paid for GCMRC or BOR funds?
      * Helen Fairley: in the past have come out of GCMRC Budget. Did more frequently when trying to get monitoring programs established and reviewed
      * LS: opportunity to pursue several, and want to make sure we understand how they will be funded
    - CE: talked about doing a TWG and AMWG trip, AMWG was the big expensive trip, and the TWG trip was smaller
      * Alternate years
    - LS: Lees ferry and lower end trip for TWG would work
      * Strongly endorse AMWG trip in each TWP
* Project D
* Project N
* C.5:
  + Craig Ellsworth: Remind me what the rules for these funds are?
    - JH: used for when we do a management action under LTEMP (HFE, bug flows, etc.)
      * Addresses field work and other associated work
      * If money is still there, it could be used to replenish C.6 funds if applicable
      * There is a tier system
        + Understanding management actions under LTEMP get first priority
    - CE: Some researchers were not able to get experimental actions, so for vegetation those funds might not be able to be accessed
      * Certainly experimental needs that some people would be interested in seeing done
      * Might be somewhere where program could work with researchers at GCMRC