BAHG Call #7 BOR TWP

* Trying to think about new naming convention as to not confuse BOR Budget with GCMRC Budget
* Project A
	+ Combining A.1, A.3, A.6
	+ Might need to increase facilitation contract
* Project B
	+ Combining B.1, B.3, B.5
	+ B.4 might be moved to facilitation if charter allows that flexibility
	+ **Discussion:**
		- Helen Fairley: might not be a good idea to shift it over the full TWP in case new chair requires reimbursement
		- Larry Stevens: it was next to impossible to have anyone other than Federal/State in that position if there is no reimbursement
* Project C
	+ C.3 Integrated Stakeholder River Trip (**cost likely to increase from FY24)**
	+ C.4 Science Advisors Program (**Do we want to increase engagement?)**
	+ C.5 Experimental Management Fund (**Possible increase)**
	+ C.9 Evaluation of means to prevent fish passage through GCD (**New BO coming and may change)**
	+ C.10 Evaluation of temperature control methods at GCD (**New BO coming and may change)**
	+ **Discussion:**
		- Larry Stevens: GCMRC has run PEP panels in the past, deep dive into how programs are fairing. Paid for GCMRC or BOR funds?
			* Helen Fairley: in the past have come out of GCMRC Budget. Did more frequently when trying to get monitoring programs established and reviewed
			* LS: opportunity to pursue several, and want to make sure we understand how they will be funded
		- CE: talked about doing a TWG and AMWG trip, AMWG was the big expensive trip, and the TWG trip was smaller
			* Alternate years
		- LS: Lees ferry and lower end trip for TWG would work
			* Strongly endorse AMWG trip in each TWP
* Project D
* Project N
* C.5:
	+ Craig Ellsworth: Remind me what the rules for these funds are?
		- JH: used for when we do a management action under LTEMP (HFE, bug flows, etc.)
			* Addresses field work and other associated work
			* If money is still there, it could be used to replenish C.6 funds if applicable
			* There is a tier system
				+ Understanding management actions under LTEMP get first priority
		- CE: Some researchers were not able to get experimental actions, so for vegetation those funds might not be able to be accessed
			* Certainly experimental needs that some people would be interested in seeing done
			* Might be somewhere where program could work with researchers at GCMRC